## United States District Court

for the

Northern District of California

## **FILED**

Sep 03 2024

Mark B. Busby
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND

United States of America	)		NORTI
v.	)		
Yoel Fernando Valladares-Galvez	)	Case No.	4:24-mj-71230-MAG-1
Defendant			

#### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

#### Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ₫ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

#### Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions have been met:
$\square$ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
☐(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
$\Box$ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
$\Box$ (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
$\Box$ (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
☐ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

# 

AO 472	(Rev. 11/16)	Order of Detention	Pending Trial

☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
☐ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21
U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term o imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
☐ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
☐ Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of clockel or substance chase
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

#### Case 4:24-mj-71230-MAG Document 9 Filed 09/03/24 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial		
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district		
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States		
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States		
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration		
✓ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered		
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement		
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents		
☐ Background information unknown or unverified		
☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release		

#### OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Defendant was initially detained in the District of Oregon and then released on conditions there, at a second detention hearing. He was placed on GPS monitoring and ordered to to reside in a halfway house in the charging district. Defendant stated that he informed his pretrial services officer that he needed to go to California, and the officer told him he had to wait. Instead of complying with his pretrial officer's instructions, he cut off his GPS monitor, left it in a parking lot, and fled the district of Oregon. The fact that he was upset about his mother passing away in Honduras and that his significant other and child had relocated to the California bay area from Honduras, did not excuse his flagrant violation of his conditions of pretrial release or persuade the court that he would go back to the charging district to face the charges pending against him (a presumption case). Defendant also has an outstanding warrant against him from the state of Georgia for failure to appear.

### **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for
confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being
neld in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with
defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in
charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in
connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	09/03/2024	Landes Westmore
		United States Magistrate Judge